

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/662,178		SADLER ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Hal I. Kaplan		2836		

All Participants:

(1) Hal I. Kaplan.

(2) Michael Papalas.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Claims 17-25 and 28-32 rejected; claims 26 and 27 objected to.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:45 p.m.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment 3/23/06 not forwarded to examiner because amendment was preliminary; application went abandoned as a result. Amendment was still not compliant. On Applicant's request, Examiner contacted Edward Westin (SPRE), who allowed compliant response 9/13/06 to be considered timely.